UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

as Administrator of the Estate of) Case No. 1:21-cv-02102
Gloria A. Singer (Deceased),) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Parker
v.)
MONTEFIORE, et al.,))
Defendants.))
STEVEN ROSS, Individually and as) Case No. 1:21-cv-02103
Executor of the Estate of Devorah Bette Ross (Deceased)) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge) William H. Baughman, Jr.
v.)
MONTEFIORE, et al.,)
Defendants.))
ROBYN FINKENTHAL) Case No. 1:21-cv-02105
KULBARSH, as Administrator of the Estate of Marlene S. Finkenthal (Deceased),) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge David A. Ruiz
v.)
MONTEFIORE, et al.,))
Defendants.))
	/

ESTATE OF CAROL KENNEY, by	Case No. 1:21-cv-02106
Nicholas Laudato, Administrator of	
the Estate of Carrol Kenney	Judge J. Philip Calabrese
(Deceased),)
D1 1 100) Magistrate Judge
Plaintiff,	Jonathan D. Greenberg
V.	
MONTEFIORE HOME, et al.,	
MONTEFIORE HOME, et at.,)
Defendants.)
Defendants.	
	,
NICHOLAS LAUDATO,	Case No. 1:21-cv-02120
Administrator of the Estate of Carrol)
Kenney (Deceased),	Judge J. Philip Calabrese
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge David A. Ruiz
v.	
MONTEFIORE HOME, et al.	
Defendants	
Defendants.	
)
STEVEN A. ROSS, Individually and	Case No. 1:21-cy-02148
as Executor of the Estate of Devorah) Case 140. 1.21-64-02140
Bette Ross (Deceased),) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
Bette 1000 (Beecaoca),)
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Jonathan D. Greenberg
v.)
MONTEFIORE, et al.)
Defendants.	
)

ROBYN FINKENTHAL) Case No. 1:21-cv-02125
KULBARSH, as Administrator of)
the Estate of Marlene S. Finkenthal) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
(Deceased),)
) Magistrate Judge
Plaintiff,) Jonathan D. Greenberg
)
v.)
MONMEDIODE)
MONTEFIORE, $et al.$,)
Defendants.)
Defendants.)
ROBERT SINGER, Individually and) Case No. 1:21-cv-2149
as Administrator of the Estate of)
Gloria A. Singer, Deceased,) Judge J. Philip Calabrese
)
Plaintiff,) Magistrate Judge
) William H. Baughman, Jr.
v.)
)
MONTEFIORE, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

ORDER

In response to Defendants' motion for clarification and the Sixth Circuit's order to show cause, the Court issues the following clarification of the record and Order. The Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to remand. Administratively, that Order had the effect of transferring the case file back to State court. When Defendants indicated they intended to appeal the remand ruling based on 18 U.S.C. § 1447(d), the Court vacated the judgments to the extent necessary to recall the file to permit Defendants the opportunity to appeal. (ECF No. 24.) That action was neither a comment on the validity of the Court's prior remand Order nor a vacatur of it. Instead, to the extent that the January 10, 2022 order "vacated" the judgments (ECF No. 24), it was a

Case: 1:21-cv-02149-JPC Doc #: 32 Filed: 02/23/22 4 of 4. PageID #: 430

mechanical or administrative decision to enable Defendants to perfect their appellate

rights. Nothing more.

To place this case in the proper posture for appeal, the Court REINSTATES

the order remanding the consolidated cases to State court (ECF No. 20) and STAYS

enforcement of that order pending the Sixth Circuit's review of the remand ruling.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 23, 2022

J. Philip Calabrese

United States District Judge

Northern District of Ohio